Case 3:06-cv-02163-MJJ Document 4 Filed 04/11/2006 Page 1 of 3

JOHN A. RUSSO, City Attorney, State Bar 129729 VICKI A. LADEN, Supervising Deputy City Attorney, State Bar 130147 FILFD JENNIFER A. CHIN, Deputy City Attorney, State Bar 209954 One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor Oakland, California 94612 3 | APR 1-2 2006 Telephone: (510) 238-3601 Fax: (510) 238-6500 Email: ichin@oaklandcityattorney.org RICHARD W. WIEKING X02822:369849.2 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 Attorneys for Defendants 6 CITY OF OAKLAND, and DEBORAH EDGERLY, Oakland City Administrator 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO 10 COMCAST OF CALIFORNIA/COLORADO, Case No. C 06-02163 (MJJ) 11 LLC. STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR 12 Plaintiff. DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT 13 14 CITY OF OAKLAND, DEBORAH EDGERLY. Oakland City Administrator, in her official 15 capacity, 16 Defendants. 17 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION 18 THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD AS FOLLOWS: 19 1. This matter was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of 20 California on March 24, 2006. 21 2. The Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief was served on Defendants on 22 March 24, 2006. Based upon this date of service, Defendants' response to the Complaint was to 23 have been filed on or before April 13, 2006. 24 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1(a), it is agreed among the parties, through their 25 counsel, that the last day for Defendants to respond to the Complaint in this matter shall be

-1-

C 06-02163 MJJ

STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR

DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

4	extended to May 15, 2006.
1	4. Defendants and Comcast agree that such extension shall not constitute evidence that
2	Comeast was dilatory or did not timely seek injunctive relief in this matter.
3	
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
5 6	Dated: April 10, 2006 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS DANIEL JOHNSON, JR. BRENDAN DOLAN
	PHILIP J. SMITH
7 8	Onil 1 Day
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	COMCAST OF CALIFORNIA/COLORADO, LLC
11	
12	Dated: April 7, 2006 JOHN RUSSO, City Attorney VICKI A. LADEN, Supervising Deputy City Attorney
13	JENNIFER A. CHIN, Deputy City Attorney
14	man Court de Chim
15	By: Attorne/s for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND, and
16	DEBORAH EDGERLY, Oakland City Administrator
17	
18	
19	17 15 SO ORDERED
20	Warting . Jestine
21	MARTIN J. JENKINS
22	MARTIN J. JENKINS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23	4/12/26 DATE
24	
25	
26	

Case 3:06-cv-02163-MJJ Document 4 Filed 04/11/2006

Page 3 of 3

1 2	PROOF OF SERVICE Comcast v. City of Oakland, et al. U.S. District Court Case No. C 06-02163 MJJ
3	I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is City Hall, One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor,
4	Oakland, California 94612. On date shown below, I served the within documents:
5 6	STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT
	by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s)
7	set forth below, or as stated on the attached service list, on this date before 5:00 p.m.
9	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Oakland, California, addressed as set forth below.
10 11	by causing personal delivery by messenger of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
12	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
13	by causing such envelope to be sent by Federal Express/Express Mail.
14 15 16	Charles I. Cohen MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004
17 18	I am readily familiar with the City of Oakland's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business.
19	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United State of America that the foregoing is true and correct in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746.
20	Executed on April 11, 2006, at Oakland, California.
21	$\langle \langle \langle \rangle \rangle \rangle$
22	Kristin Ericsson
23	
24	
25	
26	